integrating GIS-related technology and spatial resources into the total library service environment, educating the Library community is crucial. GIC participates in the library’s User Education Program, providing short courses on the potential applications of spatial operations in the Social Sciences and the Humanities. The visibility of the Center in the library’s promotional activities has also helped to educate potential users. Descriptive articles in the library newsletter, as well as guest lectures in key academic departments about GIC’s services and resources have had quickly-realized benefits. Many new users in the last year have heard about the Center in their class setting. Finally, the participation of GIC in regional consortia and in the University’s GIS user group activities has helped maintain its status as a key player in shaping the future development of GIS technology and resource delivery at the University of Virginia.

The first year for the Center has been quite successful. Use of GIC resources and services has clearly diversified. Historians, archaeologists, political scientists, and other social sciences scholars now comprise the largest user group. Humanities scholars, particularly in English, have also grown in number and have produced several imaginative GIS applications.

The best indicator of the program’s achievement in its initial year is the general increase in geo-information resource utilization. A clear demand for desktop resources has required the addition of more personal computers. Simultaneously, browsing and circulation of paper maps has significantly increased over previous years.

Future activities of the Geographic Information Center involve the expansion the Virginia Atlas Project components with additional map layers and more regional coverages for use by remote browsers. GIC has also begun work on the Historic Map component of the existing Virginia Digital Map Library. A key objective in the coming year is the full integration of our existing WWW resources with more interactive search, retrieval, and display capabilities. Flexibility and creativity will certainly be key elements in the Center’s future service activities. Rapidly changing, more intuitive, technology and the continued growth of both public-domain, and value-added commercial source material will likely make spatial resources, services, and technology generally expected by library users. Our challenge is to define our role with ambition, while maintaining consistent, quality service to the University community.

BOOK REVIEW

How Maps Work: Representation, Visualization, and Design

Reviewed by Elisabeth S. Nelson
Department of Geography
San Diego State University

If you are searching for a detailed guide to contemporary cartographic research issues, How Maps Work is the book you need. This encyclopedic volume covers many of the major ideas currently being examined by academic cartographers. The goal of the book, as stated by MacEachren, is to provide a basis from which cartographers might begin to build an understanding of how maps work. As he clearly points out in the preface “Understanding how and why maps work (or do not work) as representations in their own right and as prompts to further representations, and what it means for a map to work, are critical issues as we embark on a visual information age” (p. v).

To accomplish this daunting task, MacEachren has constructed a view of spatial representations that consists of multiple levels and has organized his book around this structure. How Maps Work consists of three main sections: How Meaning is Derived from Maps, How Maps are Informed with Meaning, and How Maps are Used: Applications in Geographic Visualization. The first two sections of the book consider cartographic research from two complementary perspectives: a private/perceptual-cognitive view...
and a public/social view. The former is concerned primarily with how we “see” maps and how we derive meaning from them; the latter employs semiotics to develop logical symbolization systems and provide a framework for understanding how we interpret and assign meaning to map symbols. The final section provides a case study, geographic visualization (GVIS), through which this multiple-level approach is applied.

Part I, How Meaning is Derived from Maps, consists of three chapters that outline an information-processing approach to vision and visual cognition and discuss its potential application for the study of maps. In Chapter Two, An Information-Processing View of Vision and Visual Cognition, MacEachren begins by describing David Marr's information-processing model of vision. He then offers Steven Pinker’s theory of graph comprehension as an example of an information-processing approach to visual cognition, and discusses the work of several cartographers who have developed similar, but less formal models.

In Chapter Three, How Maps are Seen, MacEachren provides a detailed synthesis of the eye-brain system and examines its limitations for processing information about the basic visual variables used in cartographic representation. Much of this chapter is devoted to the research that has been conducted on low-level visual processes, with an emphasis on how they affect cartography’s use of visual variables in the design of maps. Here, MacEachren has pulled together research from a variety of disciplines “... to build an understanding of how maps are seen that can serve as a framework for research on and guidelines for map symbolization and design” (p. 147). Processes that are discussed include Gestalt grouping principles, selective attention theory, visual search models, perceptual categorization, and depth perception. MacEachren also provides several examples of the application of these principles in cartographic research and testing.

The emphasis on low-level perceptual processes in Chapter Three sets the stage for Chapter Four, How Maps are Understood. In this chapter, it is the interaction between the visual descriptions of maps, which result from how we “see” maps, and our existing knowledge that is stressed. MacEachren uses the mechanism of knowledge schemata as a way of linking these visual descriptions with our existing knowledge. Discussion begins with the topic of mental categorization, since categories underlie our ability to form schemata. Aspects of categorization that are detailed include prototype theory, family resemblance, fuzzy categories and basic-level theory. MacEachren then examines the issue of general knowledge representation and highlights the basic theories (propositional, analogical, and procedural) that attempt to describe the structures used in long-term memory representation. He proposes three types of schemata as linking mechanisms between these long-term representations and visual descriptions: propositional, image, and event schemata. Details of each of these schemata are complemented with examples of how they might be used in a cartographic context. The chapter ends with an exploration of issues related to the development of cognitive map schemata. Using an isarithmic representation of terrain as an example, MacEachren generates hypotheses for how map schemata develop, how they are selected for specific map tasks, and how they are used in interpreting spatial information.

Part Two, How Maps are Imbued with Meaning, uses a semiotic perspective in considering the public/social aspects of cartographic representation. According to MacEachren, “Cartographic inquiry can profit from a semiotic... approach for two reasons. First, semiotics provides a conceptual framework for developing a cartographic representation logic that can take advantage of what we know about cognitive representations, mental categories, and knowledge schemata. Second, aspects of semiotics that deal with meaning offer a way to integrate approaches to map representation that emphasize both explicit and implicit meaning, logical and expressive meaning, denotation and connotation, and more” (p. 214). Chapter Five, A Primer on Semiotics for Understanding Map Representation, is the first of three chapters in this section and is essentially a primer on the semiotic concepts relevant to cartography. MacEachren begins the chapter by establishing some basic terminology and then proceeds to examine two fundamental semiotic issues: the relationships between map marks and their referents, and the relationships among map signs. Examples of topics that are covered include: Peirce’s typology of signs in which the relationship of the sign-vehicle to the referent is explored from the viewpoint of the interpretant; Morris’ typology of discourse that examines how signs influence behavior; and Morris’ three dimensions of semiosis—syntactics, semantics, and pragmatics. Also examined are a number of cartographic efforts to adapt these concepts to spatial representations.

In Chapter Six, A Functional Approach to Map Representation, the focus is on the categorization of “stand-for” relationships in mapping (mapping semantics) and sign system specification (mapping syntactics). The sections dealing
with map semantics consider individual sign relations from the perspective of a triadic model. Using this model of signs, MacEachren shows how separate perspectives can put emphasis on particular cartographic issues, such as the link between symbol and meaning or the role of map signs in promoting understanding between the cartographer and the map user. He then discusses the syntactics of mapping, an area in which cartographers have worked to develop typologies of symbol categories and rules for matching these categories to those of geographic features. His example for this section examines the most fundamental cartographic typology, the level of visual variables. Here, he introduces the reader to Bertin’s original set of graphic variables, outlines a number of extensions for that set, and then suggests a mapping syntactic that is based on their logical application.

Chapter Seven, *A Lexical Approach to Map Representation*, provides a complementary perspective to the one taken in the previous chapter. The emphasis is on explaining how map users interpret symbols and symbol groups on maps, as well as entire maps themselves. In organizing this chapter, MacEachren has chosen to address meaning and map representation from two perspectives: meanings in maps and meanings of maps. Meaning in maps is defined as those denotative meanings that are directly specified on a map, such as in the map legend. MacEachren covers several issues related to this type of meaning, the most important of which is a basic taxonomy that categorizes meaning in maps into three levels: meanings about space, space-time, and attributes in space-time. Other issues examined include the specificity of signs, the concreteness of signs, and how the meaning of map signs changes across cultures and across time. Meaning of maps, on the other hand, are connotative meanings. The difference between the two can be thought of as the difference between “... knowing what things are (explicitly) versus what they stand for (implicitly)” (p. 331). MacEachren describes this idea by examining a typology of connotation and by exploring various types of connotations on maps, such as those of veracity, integrity and power.

The last section of *How Maps Work* is titled *How Maps are Used: Applications in Geographic Visualization*. This section also has three chapters, each devoted to exploring how the multi-perspective approach of Parts I and II can be applied to GVIS. In Chapter Eight, *GVIS: Facilitating Visual Thinking*, the emphasis is on the application of these approaches to a low-level task, feature identification. MacEachren begins the chapter by presenting and elaborating on a model for feature matching. He then uses this model to integrate some of the ideas discussed in the first two sections of the book. The reader’s attention is directed to several cognitive concepts, such as attention and categorization, that are related to functional representations in the GVIS environment. Related topics that are covered include the position of space and time in perceptual organization, the role of scale and resolution in GVIS displays, the influence of static graphic variables on the emergence of pattern, and the role of schema in defining what is “seen.”

Chapter Nine, *GVIS: Relationships in Space and Time*, extends the approach taken in Chapter Eight to more complex tasks such as spatial comparisons across multiple features or multiple times. Highlighted here are the attempts of several researchers to build the tools necessary to make such comparisons in a GVIS environ-
How Maps Work is a gold mine of information and well-worth the sticker price. While not appropriate for introductory or intermediate level cartography classes, it would serve well as a basis for graduate research seminars and is an excellent reference source. Every cartographic researcher should own a copy.

BOOK REVIEW

Editing Early and Historical Atlases

by Dalia Varanka
Bureau of Land Management

This book is a collection of seven contributions on the history of and historical atlases. The material was originally presented as papers at the Twenty-ninth Annual Conference on Editorial Problems, held at the University of Toronto on November 5-6, 1993. The issues the conference focused upon included text and cartographic authorship, atlas editorial content, and production editing. These published chapters, however, expand the intentions of the conference, as stated in the introduction by the editor, to examine both the nature and history of atlas evolution and the atlas as a systematic and structural text.

The chapters are written from different viewpoints, settings, and time periods. Their arrangement in the book as a whole begins first with works of broad overview by James R. Akerman and Walter A. Goffart. Akerman writes about atlases in their most general conception while Goffart focuses on the development of historical atlases. Taken in its most broad sense, that the atlas has an author or editor which consciously structures the work into a compendium according to an idea, Akerman digs deep into the past to trace such books or sets of maps. He focuses most on the uniformity of format and standardization of editions which are the characteristics of atlases in modernity, and also on the authority of an author. Despite the fact that the idea or narrative of the atlas is Ackerman’s criteria of atlas value, the inclusion of all works which fall within general structural terms resembling modern atlases are the consistent focus of his study. The wide variety of possible editorial decisions is less developed. Goffart’s classification of early historical atlases (gathered from various places) traces the ties of these works to academia, to the study of the classics and their ideals, and to prose texts. Goffart also stresses standards of consistency; world-wide coverage, chronology, and the use of identical base-maps form a threshold in the evolution of historical atlases.

These atlases become a history of our own historiography, and a mirror of the imposition of our own valued ideas upon the past, as in the rise of the depiction of boundaries on historical events (particular to the late eighteenth and nineteenth centuries) where they were most likely ephemeral.

Mary Sponberg Pedley’s study of atlases in Enlightenment France provides a complement to these first two studies by presenting a more specific analysis of variations in issues such as maps over text and non-standardized works. These, she argues, are attributable to problems of language, economics, and the demands of science. Forces on atlases in Enlightenment France worked against standardization; the customers were the chief compilers of maps into atlas factice and this practice was protected by law. Engraving and printing practices were also kept separate by law, and in the eighteenth century, scientific standards and the influence and support of the scientific community encouraged the modernization of maps one at a time, making atlases too costly a venture. This situation persisted until the appearance of the Atlas Universal in 1758, in which modern principles of atlas publication were explicitly stated and were to include a historical section.

Anne Godlewska’s careful analysis of Edme Jomard's facsimile atlas, resembling an atlas factice in that it is a compilation of independently produced maps assembled according to the criteria of an individual, suggests that sets of separately produced maps compiled within the terms of a selection process are rooted and structured by the geographical approach of the compiler, and not necessarily by systematic or scientific standardization. Jomard lived and worked on the threshold of an implied shift in emphasis from the science of positional accuracy in mapping to maps for purposes of spatial analysis. His facsimile atlas, though it was intended as a world history via the map itself, was largely ineffective this way because of Jomard’s persistent simplistic view that most problems in general could be analyzed directly by mapping.

William Dean’s analysis of two atlas projects, Economic Atlas of Ontario and Historical Atlas of Canada shows how the movement away from simple and direct expressions of nationalistic interests, as noted by Goffart for example, on the focus of the rise and fall of empires, and toward the study of social factors continued into the proliferation of twentieth-century atlases, beginning, he states, in the 1950s. The two atlases are good choices for a comparative study. The Economic